

This response was submitted to the consultation held by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics on *Novel neurotechnologies: intervening in the brain* between 1 March 2012 and 23 April 2012. The views expressed are solely those of the respondent(s) and not those of the Council.

Anonymous 4

March 12, 2012

Dear Members of the Nuffield Council on Bioethics:

This letter is in response to your recently launched consultation on ethical dilemmas posed by neurotechnologies. Although I do not expect that your council will or even may be able to publish this letter, I am writing to communicate how there are many unethical individuals employing neurotechnologies to torture and harass ordinary people worldwide.

As your council is concerned about the effects of neurotechnologies that are being used for positive benefits, such as attempting to correct depression, OCD (obsessive compulsory disorder), migraine headaches, Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, etc., I am writing to advise you that I am willing to submit myself to your council for testing to uncover the harm and damage that the neurotechnologies cause. I as well as other individuals have already been unwillingly exposed to the neurotechnologies for years.

My particular case is just one of many others throughout the world whereby I have been, as it is termed, "Targeted" since 2006. As I am still working on my journal of my targeting experience, I would like to direct you to page 52 of Robin Webster's 2010 piece called "Evidence for the Existence of an Invisible Third Degree" that I have attached. Commencing from page 52 – Not just Clean, but "Invisible" Torture Devices – to page 58, Mr. Webster reveals details of experiences similar to what I have experienced, particularly, the "V2K" assaults that he details on page 53.

Please advise if you believe testing on the amounts/levels of an individual's brain spikes could assist in proving that these harmful brain assaults are occurring. Your attention to this matter is highly appreciated.