

This response was submitted to the consultation held by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics on *The Forensic use of bioinformation: ethical issues* between November 2006 and January 2007. The views expressed are solely those of the respondent(s) and not those of the Council.

Allister Mannion

## List of questions

### 1. The interpretation of bioinformation

- a. In your view, is the SGM Plus® system, which uses ten STIR markers, sufficiently reliable for use in ascertaining the identity of suspects in criminal investigations and/or criminal trials? **Probably**

### 2. Sampling powers

- a. From whom should the police be able to take fingerprints and DNA samples? Those **convicted**. At what stages in criminal investigations and for what purposes? **Only to convict**. Should the police be able to request further information from DNA analysts, such as physical characteristics or ethnic inferences? **No**.
- b. Should police expenditure on bioinformation collection and analysis be given priority over other budgetary demands? **No**
- c. Do you consider the current criteria for the collection of bioinformation to be proportionate to the aims of preventing, investigating, detecting and prosecuting criminal offences? **No**. In particular: is the retention of bioinformation from those who are not convicted of an offence proportionate to the needs of law enforcement? Absolutely NOT
- d. Is it acceptable for bioinformation to be taken from minors and for their DNA profiles to be put on the NDNAD? **No**

### 3. The management of the NDNAD

- a. Is it proportionate for bioinformation from i) suspects and ii) volunteers to be kept on forensic databases indefinitely? **No** Should criminal justice and elimination samples also be kept indefinitely? **No**. How should the discretion of Chief Constables to remove profiles and samples from the NDNAD be exercised and overseen? **There should be discretion; this information should NOT be kept unless a conviction is secured.**

Is the ethical oversight of the NDNAD adequate? **No**. What, if any, research on NDNAD profiles or samples should be permitted? **None**. Who should be involved in the oversight of such databases and granting permission to use forensic DNA profiles or samples for research? **N.A. Should no be allowed.**

- c\_ Who should have access to information on the NDNAD and IDENT1 databases and how should bioinformation be protected from unauthorised uses and users? **Only**

This response was submitted to the consultation held by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics on *The Forensic use of bioinformation: ethical issues* between November 2006 and January 2007. The views expressed are solely those of the respondent(s) and not those of the Council.

**information concerning those convicted should be available to those seeking to solve crimes.** Should forensic databases ever be made available for non-criminal investigations, such as parental searches, or the identification of missing or deceased persons? **No.**

- d. What issues are raised by the transfer of bioinformation between agencies and countries? **Refer to history, as in Western Europe 1939140 (identification of Jews).** How should such transfers be facilitated and what safeguards should be in place for the storage and use of transferred data? **Should be no sharing, or storage beyond that stated (criminal convictions).**

#### 4. Ethical issues

- a. Is the use of DNA profiles in 'familial searching' inquiries proportionate to the needs of criminal investigations? **No.** Do you consider the use of familial searching may be an unwarranted invasion of family privacy? Yes.
- b. Certain groups, such as ethnic minorities and young males, are disproportionately represented on forensic databases. Is this potential for bias within these databases acceptable? **Of course.**
- c. Is it acceptable that volunteers (such as victims, witnesses, mass screen volunteers) also have their profiles retained on the NDNAD? **No.** Should consent be irrevocable for individuals who agree initially to the retention of samples voluntarily given to the police? **No.** Are the provisions for obtaining consent appropriate? **No.** Should volunteers be able to withdraw their consent at a later stage? Yes.
- d. Would the collection of DNA from everyone **at** birth be more equitable than collecting samples from only those who come into contact with the criminal justice system? **No, there is no equitability in a 'wrong'** Would the establishment of such a population-wide forensic database be proportionate to the needs of law enforcement? **Aboslulety not.** What are the arguments for and against an extension of the database? **The argument that such a database aids in crime resolution is not proven, while the abuse of such information is proven throughout history. The morality and decency of current government (questionable as it is), is no guarantee against future institutions intent. The possible abuse for evil deeds is far too frightening to support any crime detection argument.**

#### 5. The evidential value of bioinformation

This response was submitted to the consultation held by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics on *The Forensic use of bioinformation: ethical issues* between November 2006 and January 2007. The views expressed are solely those of the respondent(s) and not those of the Council.

- a. What should be done to ensure that police, legal professionals, witnesses and jury members have sufficient understanding of any forensic bioinformation relevant to their participation in the criminal justice system? **Nothing.**
- b. How much other evidence should be required before a defendant can be convicted in a case with a declared DNA match? Should a DNA match ever be taken to be sufficient to prove guilt in the absence of other evidence? **Probably not.**

#### **6. Other issues**

- a. Are there any other issues, within our terms of reference, which we should consider? As **already stated; one should only consider how evil regimes have used such (limited) information on those they have sought to persecute\_ Given current advances, and the ever present possibility that such agencies and governments could once again exist (if they don't already), such databases represent a real threat to mankind.**